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The following elements should be considered in the review for both intellectual merit and broader impacts:

1. What is the potential for the proposed activity to:

a. Advance knowledge and understanding within its own field or across different fields (Intellectual Merit); and

b. Benefit society or advance desired societal outcomes (Broader Impacts)?

2. To what extent do the proposed activities suggest and explore creative, original, or potentially transformative concepts?

3. Is the plan for carrying out the proposed activities well-reasoned, well-organized, and based on a sound rationale? Does the plan incorporate a mechanism to assess success?

4. How well qualified is the individual, team, or organization to conduct the proposed activities?

5. Are there adequate resources available to the PI (either at the home organization or through collaborations) to carry out the proposed activities?

**REVIEW:**

Please evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal with respect to intellectual merit (the potential to advance knowledge).

**Strengths:**

**Weaknesses:**

Please evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal with respect to broader impacts (the potential to benefit society and contribute to the achievement of specific, desired societal outcomes).

**Strengths:**

**Weaknesses:**

Please summarize your evaluation of this proposal, including the extent to which the proposal meets both criteria

**Summary and recommendation for improvement (scientific, technical, grantsmanship, etc…):**

**Do you recommend submission for the stated deadline?**

Top of Form

**Yes**



Bottom of Form

Top of Form

**No (if No, please explain in the summary and recommendation section)**



Bottom of Form